

Client–Library Compatibility Testing with API Interaction Snapshots

**Gustave Nonce, Thomas Degueule, Jean-Rémy Falleri,
Romain Robbes**

New Ideas and Emerging Results



université
de BORDEAUX



LaBRI

Software Libraries

google / guava Public

Watch 2.5k Star 42.3k Fork 9.4k

Code Issues 640 Pull requests 77 Actions Projects Wiki Security Insights

master 14 branches 93 tags

Go to file Add file Code

About

Google core libraries for Java

java guava

Readme

Apache-2.0 License

eamonnmcmamus and Google Java Core Libraries ... cd3b419 2 days ago 5,625 commits

- .github Bump styfle/cancel-workflow-action from 0.9.0 to 0.9.1 last month
- android Add ImmutableMap.ofEntries. 2 days ago

Version	Repository	Usages	Date
30.1.1-jre	Central	2,839	Mar, 2021
30.1.1-android	Central	488	Mar, 2021
30.1-jre	Central	1,611	Dec, 2020
30.1-android	Central	424	Dec, 2020
30.0-jre	Central	1,490	Oct, 2020
30.0-android	Central	359	Oct, 2020
29.0-jre	Central	2,799	Apr, 2020
29.0-android	Central	382	Apr, 2020
28.2-jre	Central	1,785	Jan, 2020
28.2-android	Central	142	Jan, 2020
28.1-jre	Central	1,827	Aug, 2019
28.1-android	Central	80	Aug, 2019
28.0-jre	Central	1,482	Jun, 2019
28.0-android	Central	56	Jun, 2019
27.1-jre	Central	1,442	Mar, 2019

```
guava/src/com/google/common/collect/TopKSelector.java
```

```
@@ -186,7 +186,7 @@ private void trim() {
186 186     iterations++;
187 187     if (iterations >= maxIterations) {
188 188         // We've already taken O(k log k), let's make sure we don't take longer than O(k log k).
189 - Arrays.sort(buffer, left, right, comparator);
189 + Arrays.sort(buffer, left, right + 1, comparator);
190 190         break;
191 191     }
192 192 }
```

Repositories that depend on com.google.guava:guava Package: com.google.guava:guava

275,122 Repositories 0 Packages

aws / amazon-documentdb-jdbc-driver	☆ 6	👤 5
kamilnw / Lift-management	☆ 0	👤 0
chahrazedbb / OPTIMA	☆ 0	👤 0
ryanrousseau / AppBuilder-EKS	☆ 0	👤 0

Library Upgrades

Bump org.revapi:revapi-java from 0.28.1 to 0.28.4 #58

 Open dependabot wants to merge 1 commit into `main` from `dependabot/maven/org.revapi-revapi-java-0.28.4` 

 Conversation 0  Commits 1  Checks 5  Files changed 1




dependabot bot commented on behalf of **github** on Aug 4

Contributor ...

Bumps `org.revapi:revapi-java` from 0.28.1 to 0.28.4.

► Commits

 compatibility 86%

Dependabot will resolve any conflicts with this PR as long as you don't alter it yourself. You can also trigger a rebase manually by commenting `@dependabot rebase`.

Syntactic vs Semantic Breaking Changes

Bump org.revapi:revapi-java from 0.28.1 to 0.28.4 #58

Open dependabot wants to merge 1 commit into main from dependabot/maven/org.revapi-revapi-java-0.28.4

Conversation 0 Commits 1 Checks 5 Files changed 1

dependabot bot commented on behalf of github on Aug 4 Contributor

Bumps org.revapi:revapi-java from 0.28.1 to 0.28.4.

Commits

compatibility 86%

Dependabot will resolve any conflicts with this PR as long as you don't alter it yourself. You can also trigger a rebase manually by commenting @dependabot rebase .

```
- public String fetch(String productId) {  
+ public Product fetch(String productId) {
```

Syntactic BCs

- Affect API signatures
- Trigger compile/link-time errors
- Extensive literature
- Can be detected statically with good accuracy (e.g., japicmp, RevApi, **Roseau**)

Also @ ICSME'25!




Syntactic vs Semantic Breaking Changes

Bump org.revapi:revapi-java from 0.28.1 to 0.28.4 #58


 Open dependabot wants to merge 1 commit into `main` from `dependabot/maven/org.revapi-revapi-java-0.28.4` 

Conversation 0 ← Commits 1 ↕ Checks 5 📄 Files changed 1

 **dependabot** bot commented on behalf of **github** on Aug 4 Contributor ...

Bumps `org.revapi:revapi-java` from 0.28.1 to 0.28.4.

► Commits

 compatibility 86%

Dependabot will resolve any conflicts with this PR as long as you don't alter it yourself. You can also trigger a rebase manually by commenting `@dependabot rebase`.

Behavioral BCs

- Undecidable
- Manifest as run-time errors, exceptions, etc.
- Hard to estimate impact on client code
- Very few approaches

- return `Arrays.sort(buf, left, right, comparator)`

+ return `Arrays.sort(buf, left, right + 1, comparator)`

Regression Testing

✔ Bump org.eclipse.jdt:org.eclipse.jdt.core from 3.41.0 to 3.42.0 #50

🏠 Summary

Jobs

✔ build

Run details

🕒 Usage

📄 Workflow file

build

succeeded on Jul 28 in 4m 53s

- > ✔ Set up job
- > ✔ Run actions/checkout@v4
- > ✔ Set up JDK 21
- > ✔ Set up Maven
- > ✔ Build with Maven
- > ✔ Run tests
- > ✔ Post Set up JDK 21
- > ✔ Post Run actions/checkout@v4
- > ✔ Complete job


- Client tests cannot reliably detect BBCs[†]
 - Insufficient coverage
 - Weak assertions
 - Distance to fault
 - Lenient/exception-swallowing code
- Even when detected, the distance between the root cause and the failing assertions severely hurts diagnosis and remediation

[†] Jayasuriya et al. "Understanding the impact of APIs behavioral breaking changes on client applications" (FSE'24)


[†] Hejderup et al. "Can we trust tests to automate dependency updates? A case study of Java projects" (JSS'22)

[†] Gyori et al. "Evaluating regression test selection opportunities in a very large open-source ecosystem" (ISSRE'18)

API Interaction Snapshots with Gilesi




API Interaction Snapshots with Gilesi




1. Identify the library's API

API Interaction Snapshots with Gilesi



1. Identify the library's API
2. Identify the library's footprint


API Interaction Snapshots with Gilesi



1. Identify the library's API
2. Identify the library's footprint
3. Instrument the footprint with probes, recording:
 - a. Invoked method
 - b. Receiving object
 - c. In-parameter values
 - d. Out-values/exceptions

$$I_1 = \langle m_1, o_1, \langle p_1, \dots, p_n \rangle, r_1 \rangle$$

API Interaction Snapshots with Gilesi



T1's Snapshot (v1)


$$I_1 = \langle m_1, o_1, \langle p_1, \dots, p_n \rangle, r_1 \rangle$$

T2's Snapshot (v1)

$$I_1 = \langle m_1, o_2, \langle p_1, \dots, p_n \rangle, r_2 \rangle$$

$$I_2 = \langle m_2, o_2, \langle p_2, \dots, p_n \rangle, r_3 \rangle$$

API Interaction Snapshots with Gilesi



T1's Snapshot (v2)

$$I_1 = \langle m_1, o_1, \langle p_1, \dots, p_n \rangle, r_1 \rangle$$

T2's Snapshot (v2)

$$I_1 = \langle m_1, o_2, \langle p_1, \dots, p_n \rangle, r_2 \rangle$$

$$I_2 = \langle m_2, o_2, \langle p_2, \dots, p_n \rangle, r_3 \rangle$$

API Interaction Snapshots with Gilesi

```
Test1_Snapshot1 = (  
  <StrTokenizer.<init>, ∅, "apple,banana", o1>,  
  <setDelimiter, o1, ",", ∅>,  
  <getTokenList, o1, ∅, ArrayList("apple", "banana")>  
)
```

An example test's snapshot on commons-text:1.9

```
Test1_Snapshot2 = (  
  <StrTokenizer.<init>, ∅, "apple,banana", o1>,  
  <setDelimiter, o1, ",", ∅>,  
  <getTokenList, o1, ∅, Arrays$List("apple", "banana")>  
)
```

An example test's snapshot on commons-text:1.10

- Pairwise comparison of old and new test snapshots
- Any discrepancy in
 - Protocol
 - Types
 - Exchanged values
 - etc.
- Signals a behavioral perturbation that *might* be a BBC and warrants investigation

Evaluation: Case Study

- Jsoup & commons-lang3, 27 clients
- Injecting artificial faults in the library through extreme mutations

Library	Mutants	Killed by	
		Tests	GILESI
COMMONS-LANG3	106	100	105
JSOUP	52	41	46

- Client tests miss even extreme mutations
- Surviving mutants are i) equivalent or ii) unobservable I/O side effects
- Realistic/subtle mutations more likely to be caught by Gilesi

From New Idea to ~~Emerging~~ Results?

- Concurrency, non-determinism, snapshot flakiness
- Test generation/amplification for greater coverage and observability
- Behavioral changes vs. behavioral *breaking* changes; *what's breaking?*
- Scale from small-scale case study to large-scale datasets
- Extensive comparison (Uppdatera, SemBid, DeBBI, CompCheck)

BUMP: A Benchmark of Reproducible Breaking Dependency Updates

1st Frank Reyes
KTH Royal Institute of Technology
Stockholm, Sweden
frankrg@kth.se

2nd Yogya Gamage
KTH Royal Institute of Technology
Stockholm, Sweden
yogya@kth.se

3rd Gabriel Skoglund
KTH Royal Institute of Technology
Stockholm, Sweden
gabsko@kth.se




COMPSUITE: A Dataset of Java Library Upgrade Incompatibility Issues

Xiufeng Xu
xiufeng001@e.ntu.edu.sg
Nanyang Technological University
Singapore

Chenguang Zhu
cgzhu@utexas.edu
The University of Texas at Austin
USA

Yi Li
yi_li@ntu.edu.sg
Nanyang Technological University
Singapore

DUETS: A Dataset of Reproducible Pairs of Java Library-Clients

Thomas Durieux , César Soto-Valero , and Benoit Baudry 

 Awesome community work <3

Some Key Insights

- Snapshots embody the **exact expectations** of a particular client towards a specific version of a library
- Leveraging the execution paths offered by client tests' with stronger snapshot-based assertions increases the **sensitivity** of the tests and the **observability** of behavioral changes
- Asserting directly at the API–client boundary **eases diagnosis and remediation**

